## Case 4:23-cr-00428-JST Document 33 Filed 01/18/24 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United	1 States of America,	) Ca	ase No. 33-(1-3428	JST
	Plaintiff, v.		TIPULATED ORDER EXCLUNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL.	
	Defendant(s).	) )		
continuance of	ns stated by the parties on the reconstruction to to the poutweigh the best interest of the poly. The court makes this finding a	ublic and the de	fendant in a speedy trial. See	18 U.S.C. §
	Failure to grant a continuance v See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(	ould be likely t).	o result in a miscarriage of just	cice.
	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).			
	Failure to grant a continuance v taking into account the exercise	ould deny the d of due diligenc	lefendant reasonable time to ob e. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(	tain counsel, B)(iv).
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).			
×	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).			
	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).			
IT IS	SO ORDERED.		- Ann	
DATE	ED: 1/18/14		ONNA M. RYU nited States Magistrate Judge	
STIPU	JLATED: Attorney for Defendar	$\frac{Q}{t}$	ssistant United States Attorney	